### IX. APPENDIX

## PENALTY COMPUTATION WORKSHEET

Company Name: Daniel Products	e Conpany	
Regulation Violated 9.8	, 9.10	
Assessments for each violation should be determined on separate worksheets and totalled.		
(If more space is needed, attach separate sheet.)		
Part I - Seriousness of	Violation Penalty	
1. Potential for Harm:	MODINO MINMY	
2. Extent of Deviation:	MOO MIN	
3. Matrix Cell Range:	7999/5000 1479,500	
Penalty Amount Chosen:	6000 250	
Justification for Penalty Amount Chosen:	MID level	
4. Per-Day Assessment:	6250	
Part II - Penalty Adjustments		
Percentage	e Change* Dollar Amount	
1. Good faith efforts to comply/lack of good faith:		
2. Degree of willfulness and/or negligence:	·	
3. History of noncompliance:	<u> </u>	
4. Other unique factors:		
-5. Justification for Adjustments:		
* Percentage adjustments are app amount calculated on line 4, P	lied to the dollar	

# PENALTY COMPUTATION WORKSHEET (cont.)

6. Adjusted Per-day Penalty (Line 4, Part I + Lines 1-4, Part II):	
7. Number of Days of Violation:	
8. Multi-day Penalty (Number of days x Line 6, Part II):	
9. Economic Benefit of Noncompliance:	
Justification:	
10. Total (Lines 8 + 9, Part II):	
11. Ability to Pay Adjustment:	. *
Justification for Adjustment:	
12. Total Penalty Amount (must not exceed \$25,000 per day of violation):	4200



9 1982

an affiliate of the SYNRES group of companies 400 Claremont Avenue, Jersey City, New Jersey 07304

June 4, 1982

Mr. Andrew L. Praschak Attorney General Enforcement Branch Enforcement Division United States Environmental Protection Agency Region II 26 Federal Plaza New York, N. Y. 10278

#### Gentlemen:

Re: Compliance with Title 40 CFR, Part 265 "Interim Status Standards".

EPA Identification Number: NYD 001340686

Jersey City, N. J. Facility Location:

Date of Your Inspection Report: April 7, 1982

Date Report Received: April 12, 1982

Your Inspection report informed us of violations of several subparts of 40 CFR 265, to which we now wish to respond, as follows:

> Re: 40 CFR, 265.15 - A written schedule of inspections has been instituted. Records of inspections are being kept in a log.

Re: 40 CFR, 265.16(d) - Written documentation has been established pertaining to facility training of personnel.

40 CFR, 265.51 - A written Contingency Plan was finalized; Re: copy of which is herewith attached.

Re: 40 CFR, 265.52 - Complied with as part of 265.51.

40 CFR, 265.53 - Complied with as part of 265.51.

Re: 40 CFR, 265.112 - A written Closure/Post Closure Plan was finalized; copy of which is herewith attached.

Re: 40 CFR, 265.118 - Complied with as part of 265.112.

40 CFR, 265.142 - Complied with as part of 265.112. Re:

Klaus Meinssen, Vice President Administration

KM: ab

A. Nevin CC:

D. Kelemen

Finished Goods Warehouse

B. Bivins

DISPERSIONS & SPECIALTY ADDITIVES

## DANIEL PRODUCTS COMPANY

Division of SYNRES CHEMICAL CORP. 400 Claremont Avenue, Jersey City, New Jersey 07304

April 17, 1985

Ms. Kathleen Chojnowski, Legal Assistant Waste & Toxic Substances Branch U. S. Environmental Protection Agency Region 11, 26 Federal Plaza New York, New York 10278

RE: Docket No: II RCRA-85-0105 EPA ID. NO. NJD001340686

Dear Madam:

We wish to acknowledge receipt of your Complaint, Compliance Order and Notice of Opportunity for Hearing dated 29 March 1985, which informs us that Daniel Products Company is in violation of N.J.A.C. 7:26-9.8 and 7:26-9.10. You gave as reason that Daniel Products Company did not provide sufficient information to meet the requirements of N.J.A.C. 7:26-9.8 and 7:26-9.10.

This letter is to inform you that in order to comply with your "Compliance Order", Daniel Products Company herewith resubmits a Closure Plan, which we believe will meet the requirements of N.J.A.C. 7:27-9.8. This resubmitted plan took into account the deficiencies outlined in your Exhibit A. Daniel Products Company also resubmits a Cost Estimate, attached as well, which we believe will meet the requirements of N.J.A.C. 7:26-9.10.

In response to your Complaint for non-compliance, Daniel Products Company wishes to state that its submission, February 14, 1985, of information to meet N.J.A.C. 7:26-9.8 and 7:26-9.10 was done in the belief that it would fully meet the requirements. Daniel Products Company believed that its Closure/Post Closure Plan dated June 3, 1982, and submitted at that time to your office, attention of Mr. Andrew L. Prasdrak, was still meeting the requirement of N.J.A.C. 7:26-9.8 inasmuch as its submitted information had not been questioned by the EPA.

Daniel Products Company wishes to emphasize, that it is only a generator of hazardous waste, which necessitates storing, but is not perse a disposer of hazardous waste. Instead its generated waste is removed at intervals for final disposal at EPA certified disposal sites.

Ms. Kathleen Chojnowski April 17, 1985 Page 2

We attempted to contact you during this week to request an informal settlement conference and to set up a date at which we can meet with you. When we learned of your absence from your Mr. Bruce Adler, and because of the time limitation at hand, we decided instead to submit this letter and enclosed documents and, at the same time, request an informal settlement conference date for sometime after May 15, 1985, after I have returned from a two week vacation. I will, therefore, be contacting you on May 15 to set up a date and time which is convenient to you.

Daniel Products Company herewith likes to request that the EPA consider rescinding the proposed assessed penalites for the violation of N.J.A.C. 7:26-9.8 and 7:26-9.10. Our submission, February 14, 1985, of information to meet N.J.A.C. 7:26-9.9 and 7:26-9.10 was done in good faith and in the belief that it fulfilled the requirements. No attempt was made at withholding or providing insufficient information.

Sincerely yours,

DANIEL PRODUCTS COMPANY

KLAUS MEINSSEN

Vice President, Administration

KM/mll
Encls.
Certified Mail
Return, Receipt, Requested

cc: Regional Hearing Clerk
Air & Waste Management Division
Environmental Protection Agency
Region II, 26 Federal Plaza
New York, New York 10278

Mr. Gerard Burke
Office of Regulatory Services
N.J. Dept. of Environmental Protection